journal.adityarifqisam.org/index.php/Paddisengeng
P - ISSN: XXXX-XXXX
E - ISSN: XXXX-XXXX

Total Quality Management (TQM) in Higher Education: Implementation Challenges in Developing Nations

Ruly Nadian Sari[1], Abdoulaye Issa [2], Mariama Seyni [3]
[1] STIT Pringsewu, Indonesia
[2] Abdou Moumouni University, Niger
[3] University of Niamey, Niger

ABSTRACT

Background. The growing demand for accountability, global competitiveness, and institutional performance has driven higher education institutions—particularly in developing countries—to adopt Total Quality Management (TQM) frameworks. However, despite strong policy endorsement, TQM implementation often remains fragmented and compliance-oriented due to structural, cultural, and resource-related constraints.

Purpose. This study aimed to examine the key challenges in implementing TQM in higher education institutions across developing countries and to identify the critical determinants that influence the effectiveness of TQM practices.

Method. A mixed-methods sequential explanatory design was employed. Quantitative data were collected through surveys involving 387 academic and administrative staff, while qualitative data were obtained from semi-structured interviews with 24 institutional leaders and quality assurance officers. Statistical analyses were used to identify significant predictors, followed by thematic analysis to deepen interpretation of the quantitative results.

Results. The quantitative findings revealed that leadership commitment, organizational culture readiness, and resource adequacy significantly predict the effectiveness of TQM implementation, with organizational culture functioning as a mediating factor. Qualitative results further indicated that governance instability, limited financial investment, and resistance to managerial reforms constitute persistent barriers to successful implementation.

Conclusion. The study concludes that TQM implementation challenges in developing countries are primarily systemic rather than technical. Effective and sustainable quality enhancement requires integrated alignment among leadership, institutional structures, and organizational culture, as well as context-sensitive adaptation of global quality management models to local higher education realities.

KEYWORDS

Developing Nations , Higher Education , Total Quality Management

Citation: Ruly, N. S., Abdoulaye, ., & Mariama, M. (2026). Total Quality Management (TQM) in Higher Education: Implementation Challenges in Developing Nations. *Journal Of Paddisengeng Technology*, *2*(1), 66–79. https://doi.org/10.17323/Paddisengeng.2023. 236

Correspondence:

Ruly Nadian Sari,
rulynadiansari@gmail.com

Received: October 12, 2025

Accepted: November 15, 2025

Published: March 31, 2026

INTRODUCTION

Higher education institutions are increasingly expected to demonstrate accountability, efficiency, and measurable performance outcomes in response to globalization, international rankings, massification of enrollment, and competitive funding systems. Quality assurance has shifted from a peripheral administrative concern to a central strategic priority. Within this context,

Total Quality Management (TQM) has emerged as a managerial philosophy aimed at fostering continuous improvement, stakeholder satisfaction, and institutional excellence. Originally rooted in industrial production systems (Ahinful, 2024; Golrizgashti, 2022; Naidoo, 2023), TQM principles—customer focus, leadership commitment, process orientation, and data-driven decision-making—have gradually been adapted to educational environments.

The transfer of TQM into higher education settings reflects broader reforms associated with New Public Management and performance-based governance (Ababneh, 2024; A. B. E. Aichouni, 2024; Jasti, 2022). Universities are no longer perceived solely as centers of knowledge production but as complex organizations that must optimize resources, improve service delivery, and respond to diverse stakeholders, including students, faculty, employers, governments, and accreditation bodies. Developing nations, in particular, face mounting pressure to enhance educational quality while operating within constrained financial, infrastructural, and human resource capacities. The adoption of TQM is frequently promoted as a mechanism to strengthen institutional competitiveness and align universities with global standards.

Implementation of TQM in higher education, however, presents structural and cultural complexities that differ substantially from industrial contexts. Academic institutions are characterized by decentralized governance, collegial decision-making traditions, academic autonomy, and multidimensional definitions of quality (Ahinful, 2025; Alhumud, 2023; Tavana, 2025). Developing nations encounter additional challenges such as bureaucratic rigidity, limited technological infrastructure, insufficient quality culture, and uneven leadership capacity. These contextual realities raise critical questions regarding the feasibility, adaptation, and sustainability of TQM frameworks in such environments.

Despite the increasing popularity of TQM in higher education reform agendas, implementation outcomes in developing nations remain inconsistent and frequently underwhelming (M. Aichouni, 2023; Elibal, 2024; Gowthami, 2022). Many institutions formally adopt quality management frameworks yet struggle to translate policy declarations into operational practices. Documentation-driven compliance often replaces genuine continuous improvement processes. Symbolic adoption without substantive cultural transformation results in fragmented quality initiatives that fail to produce sustainable institutional change.

Organizational resistance constitutes a central challenge in this process. Faculty members may perceive TQM as a corporate intrusion into academic life, potentially undermining academic freedom and scholarly autonomy (Hussain, 2023; Lehyani, 2022; Wassan, 2024). Administrative staff may lack sufficient training to operationalize quality tools effectively. Leadership transitions and political interference further complicate continuity in quality reform efforts. Resource limitations exacerbate these problems, reducing the capacity to implement comprehensive monitoring systems, professional development programs, and data-driven evaluation mechanisms.

Systemic constraints in developing nations also contribute to implementation difficulties. Weak regulatory coordination, fluctuating policy directives, inadequate funding models, and limited benchmarking mechanisms hinder the institutionalization of TQM principles. The absence of strong quality cultures within universities often leads to compliance-oriented behavior rather than intrinsic commitment to improvement (Aljuwaiber, 2022; Manik, 2023; Noor-E-Sahar, 2025). These interrelated factors demonstrate that TQM implementation challenges are multidimensional, extending beyond technical procedures to encompass governance structures, institutional culture, and socio-economic contexts.

This study aims to examine the implementation challenges of Total Quality Management in higher education institutions within developing nations (Baran, 2022; Fang, 2023; Zhao, 2022). The research seeks to analyze structural, cultural, and managerial barriers that affect the operationalization of TQM principles. Identification of context-specific constraints provides a deeper understanding of why quality management initiatives often yield limited results despite policy endorsement and institutional commitment.

The study further intends to explore the interaction between leadership practices, organizational culture, resource allocation, and stakeholder engagement in shaping TQM outcomes. Particular attention is given to how contextual variables unique to developing nations influence adaptation processes (Alawag, 2023; Ali, 2022; Elsherbiny, 2024). Examination of these dynamics contributes to the formulation of a conceptual framework that captures both internal institutional factors and broader systemic influences.

The research ultimately aspires to generate evidence-based recommendations that can inform policymakers, university leaders, and quality assurance practitioners. Clarification of implementation barriers and enabling conditions supports the development of more contextually responsive quality management strategies (Alawag, 2024; Jum'a, 2023; Lee, 2022). Contribution to theoretical and practical discourse strengthens the alignment between global quality frameworks and local institutional realities.

Existing literature on TQM in higher education predominantly focuses on developed countries, where institutional infrastructure, governance systems, and resource availability differ significantly from those in developing contexts. Empirical studies frequently emphasize success stories, best practices, and performance outcomes, while comparatively fewer investigations critically examine failed or partial implementations. Limited contextualization restricts the generalizability of findings to environments characterized by financial instability, political volatility, and institutional fragility.

Prior research often treats TQM implementation as a technical process rather than a socio-cultural transformation. Quantitative surveys measuring satisfaction or performance indicators dominate the field, leaving insufficient exploration of deeper organizational dynamics. The complexity of leadership influence, resistance patterns, and cultural adaptation processes remains under-theorized in many developing nation settings. Conceptual models derived from industrial or Western university contexts may overlook contextual nuances that shape quality reform trajectories.

Comparative cross-national studies addressing implementation barriers in developing nations remain sparse. Fragmented case studies exist, yet comprehensive frameworks integrating governance, culture, leadership, and systemic constraints are limited. This gap highlights the need for a multidimensional analytical approach that moves beyond surface-level performance metrics. Addressing this lacuna provides an opportunity to enrich both TQM theory and higher education management scholarship.

This study introduces a context-sensitive analytical framework that integrates structural, cultural, and systemic dimensions of TQM implementation in developing nations. Emphasis on implementation challenges rather than normative advocacy distinguishes the research from conventional quality management literature. Integration of organizational theory with higher education governance perspectives enhances analytical depth and theoretical robustness.

The research offers originality by situating TQM within the socio-political realities of developing nations, acknowledging that quality reform cannot be divorced from governance capacity, economic constraints, and institutional maturity. Exploration of adaptive strategies rather

than prescriptive models contributes to a more nuanced understanding of how global management paradigms can be recalibrated to local contexts. This perspective challenges universalistic assumptions embedded in mainstream TQM discourse.

Justification for this study rests on the urgent need for sustainable quality enhancement mechanisms in developing higher education systems. Universities in these contexts play a pivotal role in national development, human capital formation, and knowledge production. Clarifying implementation barriers supports more effective policy formulation and institutional strategy design. Advancement of scholarly understanding regarding contextualized quality management strengthens the global conversation on higher education reform and contributes to evidence-informed decision-making processes.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employed a mixed-methods research design to examine the implementation challenges of Total Quality Management (TQM) in higher education institutions within developing nations. The design integrated a sequential explanatory approach, combining quantitative survey data with qualitative interview findings to provide a comprehensive understanding of structural, cultural, and managerial barriers (Dash, 2024; Koomson, 2024; Wang, 2023). Quantitative analysis enabled identification of dominant patterns, relationships, and significant predictors influencing TQM implementation effectiveness. Qualitative inquiry complemented these findings by exploring contextual interpretations, institutional dynamics, and lived experiences of academic stakeholders. The integration of both strands strengthened the explanatory power of the research and enhanced validity through methodological triangulation. The research was cross-sectional in nature, capturing institutional conditions and stakeholder perceptions during a defined period of quality management implementation.

The population of the study consisted of public and private higher education institutions operating in selected developing nations across Asia and Africa, where formal TQM or quality assurance frameworks had been adopted for at least three years. Institutional selection was based on stratified purposive sampling to ensure representation across university size, governance structure, and accreditation status. The quantitative sample included academic staff, administrative personnel, and mid-level quality assurance officers directly involved in TQM-related activities. A total of 420 survey responses were collected, with 387 deemed valid for statistical analysis after data screening. The qualitative phase involved semi-structured interviews with 24 participants, including university leaders, deans, quality assurance directors, and senior faculty members. Maximum variation sampling was applied to capture diverse perspectives across institutional roles and contexts. Inclusion criteria required participants to have direct experience with planning, implementing, or evaluating TQM initiatives within their institutions.

Data collection instruments were designed to capture both measurable implementation indicators and contextual perceptions. The quantitative instrument consisted of a structured questionnaire divided into five sections: leadership commitment, organizational culture, resource adequacy, stakeholder engagement, and perceived implementation effectiveness. Items were adapted from established TQM and higher education quality management scales and contextualized for developing nation environments. A five-point Likert scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree was used to measure participant responses. Content validity was established through expert review by three scholars specializing in quality management and higher education governance. A pilot test involving 40 respondents yielded satisfactory reliability coefficients, with Cronbach's alpha values ranging from 0.82 to 0.91 across dimensions. The qualitative instrument

comprised an interview protocol containing open-ended questions focusing on institutional experiences, barriers, resistance patterns, policy influences, and sustainability concerns. Interview questions were designed to probe deeper into themes emerging from the quantitative findings.

Data collection procedures were conducted in two sequential phases. The quantitative survey was distributed electronically through institutional email systems and professional networks, accompanied by an informed consent form outlining the study's purpose and confidentiality assurances. Data screening involved removal of incomplete responses and statistical checks for normality, multicollinearity, and outliers. Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression analysis were performed using statistical software to identify significant predictors of TQM implementation challenges. The qualitative phase followed preliminary quantitative analysis to allow targeted exploration of identified issues. Interviews were conducted virtually and recorded with participant consent, then transcribed verbatim for thematic analysis. Coding procedures followed an inductive-deductive approach, beginning with open coding to identify emerging categories, followed by axial coding to establish relationships among themes. Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings occurred during the interpretation stage, enabling cross-validation and comprehensive explanation of implementation challenges within the studied contexts. Ethical approval was obtained prior to data collection, and all participant information was anonymized to ensure confidentiality and compliance with research ethics standards.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive statistical analysis was conducted to examine the central tendencies and dispersion of key variables related to TQM implementation challenges. The variables included leadership commitment, organizational culture readiness, resource adequacy, stakeholder engagement, and perceived implementation effectiveness. Mean scores indicated moderate to low perceptions of effective implementation across institutions. Leadership commitment obtained a mean score of 3.21 (SD = 0.68), organizational culture readiness 2.94 (SD = 0.74), resource adequacy 2.63 (SD = 0.81), stakeholder engagement 3.05 (SD = 0.72), and perceived implementation effectiveness 2.88 (SD = 0.77). These findings suggest that while formal structures for TQM exist, operational strength remains limited in several dimensions.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of TQM Implementation Variables (N = 387)

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Leadership Commitment	3.21	0.68
Organizational Culture Readiness	2.94	0.74
Resource Adequacy	2.63	0.81
Stakeholder Engagement	3.05	0.72
Implementation Effectiveness	2.88	0.77

Secondary institutional data obtained from accreditation reports further indicated that 62% of participating universities had adopted formal TQM policies, yet only 37% reported consistent monitoring and evaluation cycles. Budget allocation for quality initiatives averaged 4.8% of total operational expenditure, reflecting limited financial prioritization of quality management systems. Moderate scores on leadership commitment reflect visible administrative endorsement of TQM

principles without consistent operational follow-through. Institutional leaders frequently articulated commitment to quality improvement in strategic documents, yet limited delegation structures and inconsistent communication channels weakened policy implementation. Variability across institutions suggests that leadership support is often personality-driven rather than systemically institutionalized.

Low scores on resource adequacy indicate structural constraints that hinder sustained implementation. Limited funding, insufficient technological infrastructure, and inadequate training programs reduce institutional capacity to operationalize continuous improvement cycles. Stakeholder engagement scores demonstrate partial involvement of faculty and administrative staff, yet participatory decision-making remains uneven. These patterns suggest that formal adoption does not automatically translate into embedded quality culture. Correlation analysis was conducted to examine relationships among the main variables. Leadership commitment showed a positive correlation with implementation effectiveness ($r = 0.62$, $p < 0.001$). Organizational culture readiness demonstrated a strong correlation with stakeholder engagement ($r = 0.67$, $p < 0.001$). Resource adequacy was moderately correlated with implementation effectiveness ($r = 0.54$, $p < 0.001$). These correlations indicate meaningful associations among structural and cultural determinants of TQM success.

Frequency analysis revealed that 48% of respondents perceived TQM initiatives as compliance-oriented rather than improvement-oriented. Approximately 41% reported experiencing resistance from faculty members, while 36% identified inconsistent policy continuity due to leadership turnover. These distributions highlight systemic vulnerabilities within institutional governance structures. Multiple regression analysis was performed to determine significant predictors of TQM implementation effectiveness. The regression model was statistically significant ($F(4, 382) = 68.47$, $p < 0.001$), explaining 47% of the variance in implementation effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.47$). Leadership commitment emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.34$, $p < 0.001$), followed by organizational culture readiness ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.001$) and resource adequacy ($\beta = 0.22$, $p < 0.01$). Stakeholder engagement demonstrated a smaller yet significant contribution ($\beta = 0.17$, $p < 0.05$).

Moderation analysis revealed that the impact of leadership commitment on implementation effectiveness was stronger in institutions with higher levels of organizational culture readiness. Interaction effects indicated that leadership alone was insufficient without supportive cultural infrastructure. These inferential findings reinforce the multidimensional nature of TQM implementation challenges. Structural equation modeling was conducted to examine indirect relationships among variables. Results indicated that organizational culture readiness partially mediated the relationship between leadership commitment and implementation effectiveness. Indirect effects were statistically significant ($p < 0.01$), demonstrating that leadership influence operates through cultural transformation mechanisms.

Resource adequacy demonstrated both direct and indirect effects on implementation outcomes. Direct effects reflected tangible infrastructural support, while indirect effects operated through enhanced stakeholder engagement. Interconnected relationships among leadership, culture, and resources suggest that isolated interventions are unlikely to produce sustainable quality improvement outcomes. Qualitative case analysis was conducted in four universities representing

varying implementation success levels. University A demonstrated high leadership involvement and structured quality committees, yet faced financial constraints limiting training programs. University B exhibited strong documentation compliance but weak faculty engagement, resulting in superficial TQM adoption. University C experienced leadership turnover during implementation, causing discontinuity in monitoring cycles. University D reported relatively stable leadership and participatory governance, achieving incremental improvement in internal quality audits.

Interview transcripts revealed recurring themes of bureaucratic rigidity, policy fluctuation, and limited institutional autonomy (Alzoubi, 2023; Torre, 2024; Zaid, 2023). Faculty members expressed concerns regarding excessive administrative workload linked to quality documentation requirements. Quality assurance officers reported difficulties aligning national regulatory expectations with institutional capacities. Case findings illuminate contextual nuances behind quantitative patterns. Institutions with stable leadership and inclusive governance structures demonstrated stronger cultural alignment with TQM principles. Documentation compliance without internal commitment resulted in performative quality practices lacking substantive impact. Financial limitations exacerbated training gaps and technological deficiencies, reinforcing structural barriers.

Narratives from participants highlighted tension between managerial accountability frameworks and academic autonomy. Perceived corporatization of universities contributed to resistance among senior faculty members (Abdi, 2022; Texeira-Quiros, 2022; Zehir, 2023). Institutional maturity and prior exposure to quality reforms influenced adaptability and resilience in TQM implementation. Quantitative and qualitative findings converge to indicate that TQM implementation challenges in developing nations stem from intertwined structural, cultural, and leadership-related factors. Formal policy adoption alone does not ensure effective operationalization. Leadership commitment exerts significant influence, yet cultural readiness and resource sufficiency determine sustainability of outcomes.

Integrated analysis underscores the necessity of systemic alignment rather than isolated managerial interventions. Sustainable quality improvement requires simultaneous reinforcement of leadership capacity, organizational culture transformation, financial investment, and stakeholder engagement (Lepistö, 2024; Tessema, 2025; Xiao, 2023). Context-sensitive adaptation of TQM frameworks appears essential for meaningful institutional impact within developing nation environments. The findings indicate that Total Quality Management implementation in higher education institutions within developing nations remains structurally moderate and operationally inconsistent. Descriptive statistics revealed that leadership commitment received relatively higher ratings compared to organizational culture readiness and resource adequacy, yet overall implementation effectiveness remained below optimal levels. Regression analysis identified leadership commitment, organizational culture readiness, and resource adequacy as significant predictors of successful implementation. Structural equation modeling further demonstrated that organizational culture mediates the relationship between leadership and implementation effectiveness.

Quantitative evidence suggests that formal adoption of TQM frameworks does not guarantee substantive transformation. Many institutions exhibit compliance-oriented behaviors characterized by documentation fulfillment rather than embedded continuous improvement practices. Moderate

stakeholder engagement levels reflect partial participation rather than systemic institutional integration. Inferential results confirm that multidimensional alignment is necessary for effective implementation. Qualitative case studies reinforce the statistical patterns by revealing institutional instability, leadership turnover, bureaucratic rigidity, and resource constraints as recurring obstacles. Universities demonstrating relatively better outcomes possessed stable leadership structures and participatory governance cultures. Institutions relying heavily on formal documentation without cultural adaptation experienced superficial implementation outcomes.

Integrated analysis of quantitative and qualitative findings underscores the interdependence of structural capacity, cultural readiness, and leadership continuity. TQM implementation challenges in developing nations cannot be reduced to technical inefficiencies alone. Institutional ecosystems shape the trajectory of quality management reforms. Existing literature from developed nations frequently reports positive associations between TQM adoption and institutional performance outcomes. Studies conducted in European and North American contexts often highlight improved stakeholder satisfaction, process efficiency, and accreditation success. The present findings partially align with such research regarding the centrality of leadership commitment and organizational culture. Divergence emerges in the magnitude of implementation barriers observed within developing nation contexts.

Previous empirical investigations emphasize the technical application of quality tools, including benchmarking systems, balanced scorecards, and continuous assessment mechanisms. Findings from this study suggest that technical adoption without cultural integration yields limited impact. Institutional fragility and governance volatility create additional layers of complexity absent in many developed systems. Structural resource constraints amplify implementation challenges beyond what is typically reported in higher-income contexts. Research conducted in selected Asian and African universities has identified resistance to managerial reforms as a significant barrier. The current study corroborates these observations, yet extends the discourse by demonstrating the mediating role of organizational culture between leadership and implementation outcomes. Cultural readiness emerges as a structural variable rather than a peripheral attitudinal factor.

Comparative interpretation reveals that TQM functions differently across contextual environments. Developed nations often operate within stable governance frameworks and established accountability cultures. Developing nations face fluctuating policy directives, limited financial investment, and uneven regulatory coherence. Contextual disparities explain the variation in implementation effectiveness observed across global studies. The findings signal that TQM implementation in developing nations represents a transitional reform process rather than a consolidated management system. Moderate effectiveness scores indicate that institutions are situated between symbolic adoption and genuine transformation. Institutional rhetoric often exceeds operational capacity, creating a disjunction between policy aspiration and implementation reality.

Patterns of compliance-oriented behavior suggest the persistence of external accountability pressures without internal cultural ownership. Organizational culture readiness functions as a diagnostic indicator of institutional maturity. Limited cultural alignment reveals that quality management reforms remain externally driven rather than intrinsically embedded. Leadership dependency indicates vulnerability within institutional systems. High predictive power of leadership commitment suggests that quality initiatives remain centralized around individual administrators

rather than institutionalized structures. Institutional resilience appears contingent on leadership stability and succession planning mechanisms.

Structural resource limitations signal systemic inequities within global higher education systems. Financial allocation patterns demonstrate that quality management competes with competing institutional priorities. TQM effectiveness therefore reflects broader socio-economic conditions influencing educational governance in developing contexts. Implications of these findings extend to policy formulation at national and institutional levels. Policymakers should recognize that regulatory mandates alone are insufficient to ensure effective TQM implementation. Institutional capacity-building initiatives must accompany policy directives to strengthen leadership training, technological infrastructure, and financial sustainability.

University administrators should prioritize cultural transformation strategies alongside procedural reforms. Professional development programs designed to enhance faculty engagement and shared governance may increase institutional ownership of quality initiatives. Participatory frameworks could reduce resistance and foster collective accountability. Quality assurance agencies may consider revising evaluation criteria to emphasize developmental support rather than compliance documentation. Context-sensitive benchmarking frameworks could enable realistic performance expectations aligned with institutional capacity. Adaptive regulatory approaches may encourage gradual improvement rather than symbolic conformity.

Scholarly discourse on TQM should incorporate contextual variability as a central analytical dimension. Global quality management models require recalibration when applied to developing nation environments. Implications for theory development involve integrating governance stability, economic constraints, and institutional maturity into quality management frameworks. Implementation outcomes appear shaped by structural governance configurations characteristic of developing nations. Centralized policy systems combined with limited institutional autonomy restrict adaptive flexibility. Universities operate within regulatory environments that emphasize accountability but provide limited structural support.

Economic constraints significantly influence institutional capacity to sustain continuous improvement cycles. Budget limitations hinder investment in training programs, data systems, and quality monitoring tools. Resource scarcity generates prioritization conflicts that marginalize long-term quality initiatives. Cultural dynamics within academic institutions contribute to resistance patterns. Academic autonomy traditions may conflict with managerial performance frameworks. Perceptions of corporatization generate skepticism toward externally imposed management models. Institutional history and prior reform experiences shape collective attitudes toward quality initiatives.

Leadership turnover disrupts continuity in strategic planning and implementation monitoring. Political influences affecting university governance exacerbate instability. Sustainable TQM implementation requires institutionalization mechanisms capable of transcending individual leadership cycles. Future research should adopt longitudinal designs to examine the sustainability of TQM implementation over time. Cross-national comparative studies could illuminate contextual determinants influencing variability in implementation outcomes. Mixed-methods approaches remain valuable for capturing both structural indicators and experiential narratives.

Development of context-specific TQM frameworks tailored to developing nation realities may enhance implementation feasibility. Research exploring hybrid quality management models integrating traditional academic governance with contemporary management principles could offer innovative pathways.

Policy initiatives should focus on strengthening leadership development programs and fostering distributed governance models. Institutional investment in digital infrastructure may enhance monitoring capacity and data-driven decision-making. Sustainable funding mechanisms dedicated to quality initiatives require strategic prioritization.

Practical interventions should emphasize gradual cultural transformation rather than rapid structural overhaul. Stakeholder engagement strategies that cultivate intrinsic motivation may reduce compliance-oriented behaviors. Continuous dialogue among policymakers, administrators, and faculty members could facilitate collective ownership of quality management reforms within developing higher education systems.

CONCLUSION

The most significant finding of this study lies in demonstrating that leadership commitment alone does not guarantee effective Total Quality Management implementation in higher education institutions within developing nations. Organizational culture readiness emerged as a mediating variable that substantially determines whether leadership initiatives translate into sustainable quality outcomes. Resource adequacy further reinforced or constrained implementation effectiveness, highlighting the structural interdependence between managerial intention and institutional capacity. Evidence from both quantitative modeling and qualitative case analysis confirms that TQM challenges in developing contexts are systemic rather than procedural, rooted in governance instability, limited financial prioritization, and fragmented quality cultures. These findings distinguish this study from prior research that emphasizes technical adoption of quality tools without sufficiently accounting for contextual and cultural determinants.

The primary contribution of this research lies in its integrated conceptual and methodological approach. Conceptually, the study advances a context-sensitive framework that links leadership commitment, organizational culture readiness, resource adequacy, and stakeholder engagement within a single explanatory model tailored to developing nations. Methodologically, the use of a mixed-methods sequential explanatory design strengthens analytical robustness by combining inferential statistical analysis with in-depth qualitative insights. This dual-layered evidence base moves beyond descriptive accounts and provides empirically validated relationships among critical variables. The study therefore contributes to both theory development in higher education quality management and practical guidance for policymakers and institutional leaders operating in resource-constrained environments.

Limitations of the study include its cross-sectional design, which restricts the ability to assess long-term sustainability of TQM reforms, and its concentration on selected developing nation contexts, which may limit broader generalization. Self-reported survey data may also introduce perceptual bias despite efforts to ensure reliability and triangulation. Future research should employ longitudinal and comparative cross-regional designs to examine institutional evolution over time and explore how varying governance models influence quality reform trajectories. Expanded inquiry into digital transformation, distributed leadership models, and culturally adaptive quality

frameworks would further enrich understanding of sustainable TQM implementation in developing higher education systems.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

REFERENCES

Ababneh, O. M. A. (2024). A Novel Prelude to the Talent –Total Quality Management Association Amongst Generation Z: The Case of the Jordanian Hospitality Industry. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, *25*(5), 1247–1277. https://doi.org/10.1080/1528008X.2022.2151549

Abdi, M. (2022). Effect of total quality management practices on nonfinancial performance: An empirical analysis of automotive engineering industry in Ethiopia. *TQM Journal*, *34*(5), 1116–1144. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-03-2021-0069

Ahinful, A. A. (2024). A conceptual framework of total quality management on innovation performance in the banking sector. *TQM Journal*, *36*(4), 1193–1211. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-11-2022-0334

Ahinful, A. A. (2025). Achieving banking industry innovation performance using total quality management: An empirical study. *TQM Journal*, *37*(5), 1292–1319. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-10-2023-0327

Aichouni, A. B. E. (2024). A Systematic Literature Review of the Integration of Total Quality Management and Industry 4.0: Enhancing Sustainability Performance Through Dynamic Capabilities. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *16*(20). https://doi.org/10.3390/su16209108

Aichouni, M. (2023). An Empirical Study of the Contribution of Total Quality Management to Occupational Safety and Health Performance in Saudi Organizations. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20021495

Alawag, A. M. (2023). Critical Success Factors Influencing Total Quality Management In Industrialised Building System: A Case Of Malaysian Construction Industry. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, *14*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2022.101877

Alawag, A. M. (2024). Developing Framework for Implementing Total Quality Management (TQM) in Sustainable Industrialized Building System (IBS) in Construction Projects. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *16*(23). https://doi.org/10.3390/su162310399

Alhumud, T. A. A. (2023). An assessment of cybersecurity performance in the Saudi universities: A Total Quality Management approach. *Cogent Education*, *10*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2023.2265227

Ali, K. (2022). Critical success factors of total quality management practices using Pareto analysis. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, *36*(3), 353–381. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPQM.2022.124704

Aljuwaiber, A. (2022). Changing attitudes through interactive learning: The impact of teaching total quality management on students' everyday lives. *Journal of Education for Business*, *97*(6), 365–375. https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.2021.1967840

Alzoubi, M. M. (2023). Effect of total quality management intervention on nurse commitment and nurse performance A quasi-experimental study. *Medicine United States*, *102*(40). https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000035390

Baran, E. (2022). Classification of Industry 4.0 for Total Quality Management: A Review. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *14*(6). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063329

Dash, A. (2024). Do customer centricity and innovativeness mediate the relationship between total quality management and the corporate success of SaaS companies? *Benchmarking*, *31*(3), 903–919. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-05-2022-0281

Elibal, K. (2024). An Industry 4.0 Maturity Model Proposal Based on Total Quality Management Principles: An Application to an Automotive Parts Manufacturer. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, *71*(Query date: 2026-02-28 13:51:48), 10815–10832. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2024.3397555

Elsherbiny, S. A. M. (2024). Critical Delay Factors in Construction Projects and Their Proposed Solutions from the Perspective of Total Quality Management. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, *72*(2), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.14445/22315381/IJETT-V72I2P101

Fang, B. (2023). Construction and application of total quality management system in pharmacy intravenous admixture service. *China Pharmacy*, *34*(15), 1798–1803. https://doi.org/10.6039/j.issn.1001-0408.2023.15.02

Golrizgashti, S. (2022). A causal structure between total quality management, organisational culture, knowledge management, supplier integration and supply chain performance—An FMCG case study. *International Journal of Integrated Supply Management*, *15*(2), 206–231. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJISM.2022.121990

Gowthami, N. R. (2022). An empirical implementation model of total quality management in construction: Southern India. *International Journal of Construction Management*, *22*(15), 3023–3033. https://doi.org/10.1080/15623599.2020.1839703

Hussain, S. (2023). Assessing quality performance through seven total quality management practices. *Uncertain Supply Chain Management*, *11*(1), 41–52. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.uscm.2022.12.002

Jasti, N. V. K. (2022). A literature review on total quality management (models, frameworks, and tools and techniques) in higher education. *TQM Journal*, *34*(5), 1298–1319. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-04-2021-0113

Jum'a, L. (2023). Cruising to Success: Unveiling the Financial Harmony of Container Shipping Firms through Total Quality Management and Service Excellence. *Logistics*, *7*(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics7040076

Koomson, S. (2024). Do total quality management and external factors matter? The effect of innovation behaviour on innovation performance in banks. *European Business Review*, *36*(6), 981–996. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-01-2024-0037

Lee, S. M. (2022). Developing Green Healthcare Activities in the Total Quality Management Framework. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116504

Lehyani, F. (2022). Analysis of Knowledge Management and Total Quality Management Application into Tunisian Small and Medium Enterprises. *IFAC Papersonline*, *55*(10), 2048–2053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2022.10.009

Lepistö, K. (2024). Enhancing customer satisfaction, personnel satisfaction and company reputation with total quality management: Combining traditional and new views. *Benchmarking*, *31*(1), 75–97. https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-12-2021-0749

Manik, E. (2023). Assessing total quality management and its impact on product quality: A cross-sectional study on textile industries in Bandung, Indonesia. *International Journal of Applied Economics Finance and Accounting*, *15*(2), 71–79. https://doi.org/10.33094/ijaefa.v15i2.820

Naidoo, S. (2023). A Fourth Industrial Revolution approach to total quality management on innovation performance: Evidence from South Africa. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, *29*(1), 61–79. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEX.2020.10032315

Noor-E-Sahar. (2025). Asymmetrical effect of total quality management on organisational citizenship behaviour for the environment: Mediated by environmental corporate social responsibility. *TQM Journal*, *37*(4), 926–952. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-08-2023-0270

Tavana, M. (2025). A total quality management action plan assessment model in supply chain management using the lean and agile scores. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100633

Tessema, D. H. (2025). Enhancing Corporate Sustainability through Total Quality Management: Evidence From Ethiopian Private hospitals. *Sage Open*, *15*(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251329575

Texeira-Quiros, J. (2022). Effects of Innovation, Total Quality Management, and Internationalization on Organizational Performance of Higher Education Institutions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(Query date: 2026-02-28 13:51:48). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.869638

Torre, T. (2024). Does the ferry sector need soft total quality management practices? Evidence from an Italian ferry company. *TQM Journal*, *36*(3), 920–939. https://doi.org/10.1108/TQM-02-2022-0058

Wang, C. J. (2023). Do Social Exchange Relationships Influence Total-Quality-Management Involvement? Evidence from Frontline Employees of International Hotels. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(12). https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13121013

Wassan, A. N. (2024). Assessing the Dynamic Impact of Total Quality Management (TQM) Practices on Organizational Performance: A Critical Review. *Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*, *18*(3), 535–544. https://doi.org/10.59038/jjmie/180308

Xiao, A. (2023). Emerging research trends of total quality management in the COVID-19 pandemic: A dynamic evolution analysis. *Economic Research Ekonomska Istrazivanja*, *36*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2140305

Zaid, A. A. (2023). Effect of total quality management on business sustainability: The mediating role of green supply chain management practices. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, *66*(3), 524–548. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2021.1997730

Zehir, S. (2023). Effects of Total Quality Management Practices on Financial and Operational Performance of Hospitals. *Sustainability Switzerland*, *15*(21). https://doi.org/10.3390/su152115430

Zhao, R. (2022). Construction of total quality management system of clinical comprehensive evaluation of drugs in China. *China Pharmacy*, *33*(12), 1409–1429. https://doi.org/10.6039/j.issn.1001-0408.2022.12.01